

(February 19, 2005)

# Injectivity and projectivity of supercuspidals

Paul Garrett [garrett@math.umn.edu](mailto:garrett@math.umn.edu) <http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/>

- Projectivity of supercuspidal irreducibles
- Injectivity of supercuspidal irreducibles

---

## 1. Projectivity of supercuspidal irreducibles

This important result must be understood accurately: it is *not* asserted that supercuspidal irreducibles are projective in the *whole* category of smooth representations of  $G$ , but only in the smaller category of representations with a *fixed* ‘central character’.

Let  $\mathcal{H}_{\chi^{-1}}$  be the Hecke algebra of locally constant  $\mathbf{C}$ -valued functions on  $G$  which are compactly-supported modulo  $Z$ , and which are  $(Z, \chi^{-1})$ -equivariant in the sense that

$$f(zg) = \chi^{-1}(z)f(g)$$

Let  $\pi$  be an irreducible supercuspidal representation of  $G$ , i.e., whose coefficient functions are compactly-supported modulo  $Z$ . Let  $\chi$  be the central character of  $\pi$ . Then the coefficient functions  $c_{v,\lambda}^\pi$  of  $\pi$  are in  $\mathcal{H}_\chi$ .

**Proposition:** In the category  $\mathcal{C}$  of smooth representations  $(\rho, X)$  of  $G$  with ‘central character’  $\chi$ , irreducible supercuspidal representations  $(\pi, V)$  (with central character  $\chi$  are *projective*. That is, given a surjection

$$\varphi : X \rightarrow V$$

in  $\mathcal{C}$ , there is a (‘section’)  $\sigma : V \rightarrow X$  so that

$$\varphi \circ \sigma = 1_V$$

*Proof:* We need to use the facts, proven earlier, that irreducible supercuspidals  $\pi$  are admissible, and that their smooth duals  $\check{\pi}$  are likewise admissible and supercuspidal. For example, it follows that  $\check{\check{\pi}} \approx \pi$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the subalgebra of  $\mathcal{H}_{\chi^{-1}}$  generated by the coefficient functions of the smooth dual  $\check{\pi}$  of  $\pi$ . From the definition of supercuspidal, these coefficient functions are in  $\mathcal{H}_{\chi^{-1}}$ . Indeed, the space of all such coefficient functions is

$$\mathcal{A} \approx \check{\pi} \otimes \pi$$

as  $G \times G$ -space, where the first  $G$  acts by right regular representation and the second by left regular. Let  $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{H}_{\chi^{-1}}$  be the intersection of the kernels of all the maps

$$\eta \rightarrow \pi(\eta)v$$

for  $v \in V$ . Then it is immediate that

$$\mathcal{H}_{\chi^{-1}} = \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{K}$$

Fix non-zero  $v_o \in \pi$ , and let  $x_o \in X$  be an element so that  $\varphi(x_o) = v_o$ . Take  $\lambda_o \in \check{\pi}$  so that  $\lambda_o(v_o) = 1$ . Then for

$$\eta = \lambda_o \otimes v \in \check{\pi} \otimes \pi \subset \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{H}$$

define

$$\sigma(\pi(\eta)v_o) = \rho(\eta)x_o$$

If  $(\lambda_o \otimes v)v_o = 0$  then  $v = 0$ , so this is indeed a well-defined map. The assumption that  $\pi$  is supercuspidal is what allows us to make such a choice of  $\eta \in \mathcal{H}_{\chi^{-1}}$  to obtain arbitrary elements of  $\pi$  from a given non-zero vector.

Then the design of the definition of  $\sigma$  makes the proof that  $\sigma$  gives a one-sided inverse to  $\varphi$  easy:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\sigma(\pi(\lambda_o \otimes v)v_o)) &= \varphi(\rho(\lambda_o \otimes v)x_o) = \\ &= (\lambda_o \otimes v)\varphi(x_o) = (\lambda_o \otimes v)v_o = \lambda_o(v_o)v = v \end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact that  $\varphi$  is a  $G$ -morphism, so commutes with the action of the Hecke algebra. ♣

## 2. Injectivity of supercuspidal irreducibles

Again: it is *not* asserted that supercuspidal irreducibles are injective in the *whole* category of smooth representations of  $G$ , but only in the smaller category of representations with a *fixed* ‘central character’.

**Corollary:** A supercuspidal irreducible  $(\pi, V)$  with ‘central character’  $\chi$  is *injective* in the category  $\mathcal{C}(\chi)$  of smooth representations with ‘central character’  $\chi$ . That is, for an injection

$$\varphi : V \rightarrow X$$

in  $\mathcal{C}(\chi)$ , there is  $\sigma : X \rightarrow V$  so that  $\sigma \circ \varphi = 1_V$ .

*Proof:* We obtain a natural surjective dual map

$$\check{\varphi} : \check{X} \rightarrow \check{V}$$

where the surjectivity follows from the (trivial) ‘Hahn-Banach’ theorem relevant here. Since  $\check{\pi}$  is supercuspidal irreducible in  $\mathcal{C}(\chi^{-1})$ , it is injective in  $\mathcal{C}(\chi^{-1})$ , so there is  $\tau : \check{\pi} \rightarrow \check{V}$  so that  $\check{\varphi} \circ \tau = 1_{\check{V}}$ . Dualizing again, using the fact that  $\check{\check{\pi}} \approx \pi$  because of *admissibility*, we obtain

$$\check{\tau} : \check{X} \rightarrow V$$

so that

$$\check{\tau} \circ \varphi = \check{1}_{\check{V}} = 1_{\check{V}} = 1_V$$

So  $\check{\tau}$  restricted to  $X \subset \check{X}$  is the desired one-sided inverse to  $\varphi$ . ♣